

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,018	WILSHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Y Chan	2829	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Emily Y Chan.

(3) Kenneth R. Wilsher.

(2) Bruce D. Riter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: U S Pat. 6,614,214.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant mr. Wilsher and attorney Mr. Riter explained the claims 1, 7 and Figs 6 and 8 to the examiner and pointed out that prior art 6,614,214 (Mizuhara et al) uses threshold voltage sampling and does not teach or suggest a circuit for applying to a second terminal of photoconductive switch a voltage corresponding to voltage sample taken during a prior sampling interval $n-1$ and a gate for passing a converted voltage signal during a gating interval T which are recited in claims 1 and 7.